NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION and THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE JERSEY SPILL COMPENSATION FUND,

Plaintiffs,

V

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC., :
OCCIDENTAL CHEMICAL :
CORPORATION, and PPG INDUSTRIES:
INC., :

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CHANCERY DIVISION-HUDSON COUNTY DOCKET NO. C-77-05

CONSENT JUDGMENT

FILED

SEP - 7 2011

THOMAS P. OLIVIERI, P.J.Ch.

This matter having been opened to the Court by Paula T. Dow, Attorney General (Deputy Attorneys General Anna M. Engel appearing), attorney for Richard F. Lascurain and plaintiffs New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP"), and the Administrator of the Jersey Spill New Compensation Fund ("Administrator") (collectively "the Michael Daneker, appearing as attorney for Plaintiffs"), Honeywell International Inc. ("Honeywell"), William Warren and Lori A. Mills, appearing as attorney for Occidental Chemical Corporation ("Occidental"), and George McGrann, appearing as attorney for PPG Industries, Inc. ("PPG") ("the Settling Defendants," collectively), and the Parties having amicably resolved their dispute, have agreed to the following terms.

I. JURISDICTION

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to the New Jersey Spill Act, N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11 a to z. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the parties to this Consent Judgment, solely for the purposes of implementing this Consent Judgment and resolving the underlying litigation.
- 2. The Parties to this Consent Judgment waive all objections and defenses they may have to jurisdiction of this Court, or to venue in this County. The Parties shall not challenge the Court's jurisdiction to enforce this Consent Judgment.

II. PARTIES BOUND

3. This Consent Judgment applies to, and is binding upon, the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants.

III. DEFINITIONS

4. Unless otherwise expressly provided, terms used in this Consent Judgment that are defined in the Spill Act or in the regulations promulgated under the Spill Act, including the Administrative Requirements for the Remediation of Contaminated Sites, shall have their statutory or regulatory meaning. Whenever the terms listed below are used in this Consent Judgment, the following definitions shall apply:

"ACO Site" shall mean a Known CCPW Site that is as of the Effective Date or thereafter designated for investigation or Remediation pursuant to an Existing ACO or that has been or will be Remediated pursuant to an Existing ACO between DEP and a Settling Defendant, including the Honeywell ACO, the PPG ACO or the Occidental ACO.

"Administrative Requirements for the Remediation of Contaminated Sites" shall mean the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection regulations codified at N.J.A.C. 7:26C.

"Administrator" shall mean the Administrator of the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund.

"Alternative Remediation Standard" or "ARS" shall mean a residential use or non-residential use soil remediation standard that is established as set out in $\underline{\text{N.J.S.A.}}$ 58:10B-12f(1).

"Chromate Chemical Production Waste" or "CCPW" shall mean the residual solid material produced by the processing of raw chromite bearing ore at a facility in Hudson County formerly owned or operated by one of the Companies or their predecessors.

CCPW shall include COPR (chromite ore processing residue), and/or hexavalent chromium associated with COPR, and/or other metals associated with COPR and/or other material containing COPR.

shall mean the standards and Policy" "Chrome procedures for the Remediation of chromium-contaminated sites the Memorandum from Lisa P. Jackson, in contained Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Protection, to Irene Kropp, then-Assistant Commissioner for Site Remediation and Waste Management, dated February 8, 2007, a copy of which is attached to this Consent Judgment as Appendix E.

"Colony Diner" shall mean Site 70.

"Company," "Companies," or "Settling Defendant" shall mean Honeywell, Occidental, and PPG, individually or collectively, as the context requires.

"Consent Judgment" shall mean this Consent Judgment and the appendices identified in Section XXI.

"Day" shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a working day. "Working day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or State holiday. In computing time under this Consent Judgment, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or State holiday, time shall run until the close of business of the next Working Day.

"DEP" or "Department" shall mean the New Jersey
Department of Environmental Protection.

"Execution Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is executed by the Department and the Companies or, if the Department and/or one or more of the Companies do not execute this Consent Judgment simultaneously, the date on which the last of said Parties to execute this Consent Judgment executes this Consent Judgment.

"Existing ACO" shall mean the Honeywell ACO, the Occidental ACO, or the PPG ACO, individually or collectively, as the context requires.

"Final Remediation Document" shall mean an NFA Letter or a Response Action Outcome (RAO) issued by a licensed site remediation professional pursuant to section 14 of P.L.2009, c. 60 (C.58:10C-14).

"Future Cleanup and Removal Costs" shall mean all Cleanup and Removal costs, as defined at N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11b, including direct and indirect costs, the Plaintiffs incur after the Effective Date for the Cleanup and Removal of CCPW.

"Future Oversight Costs" shall mean all Cleanup and Removal costs, as defined at N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11b, including direct and indirect costs, that the Plaintiffs incur after the Effective Date, for plaintiff DEP to oversee the remediation of CCPW at the Orphan Sites. Future Oversight Costs shall be those costs allowed by N.J.A.C. 7:26C, and shall be calculated in accordance with the formula codified at N.J.A.C. 7:26C-4.5.

"Grace Period Rule" shall mean the Department's regulations codified at N.J.A.C. 7:26C-9.

"Honeywell" shall mean Honeywell International Inc., a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 101 Columbia Road, Morristown, New Jersey, and its successors and assigns.

"Honeywell ACO" shall mean the Administrative Consent Order I between the Department and Honeywell's predecessor AlliedSignal Inc. regarding the Hudson County Chromate Chemical Production Waste Sites, dated June 17, 1993, as amended by the Supplemental Administrative Consent Order between the Department and Honeywell's predecessor AlliedSignal Inc., dated November 8, 1993.

"Honeywell Sites" shall mean those sites Honeywell has agreed to Remediate pursuant to this Consent Judgment, which are identified on Appendix A to this Consent Judgment as denoted by placement of an "X" next to the Site under the Column Heading for Honeywell and each of the Honeywell ACO Sites.

"Including" shall mean including but not limited to.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate established by \underline{R} . 4:42 of the then current edition of the New Jersey Court Rules.

"Known CCPW Sites" shall mean all sites identified by the Department as of the Execution Date at which the Department has determined that CCPW is or may be present, as set forth on the Department's list of CCPW sites attached as Appendix D to this Consent Judgment, which the Department represents is a complete listing of all such sites known to the Department as of the Execution Date.

"Liberty State Park" shall mean Site 15.

"Multi-contaminant Sites" shall mean Sites 139, 150, 152, 162, 177, 180b, 211 and 212.

"Newly Discovered Site" shall mean any site, other than a Known CCPW Site, at which the Department determines on or after the Execution Date, that the presence of CCPW requires investigation and, if necessary, Remediation.

"NFA Letter" shall mean a written determination by the Department that no further remedial action is necessary because:

(i) there is no CCPW present at the Site, at the area of concern or areas of concern, and at any other site to which a discharge of CCPW originating at the Site has migrated; or (ii) any CCPW present at the Site or that has migrated from the Site has been remediated in accordance with applicable statutes and regulations. An NFA Letter may be issued for soils or groundwater for all or a portion of a Site.

"Occidental" shall mean Occidental Chemical Corporation, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business at 5005 LBJ Freeway, Dallas, Texas, and its successors and assigns.

"Occidental ACO" shall mean the Administrative Consent Order between the Department and Occidental and Chemical Land Holdings, Inc. (predecessor of Tierra Solutions, Inc.) regarding the Hudson County Chromate Chemical Production Waste Sites, dated April 17, 1990.

"Occidental Sites" shall mean those sites Occidental has agreed to Remediate pursuant to this Consent Judgment, which are identified on Appendix A to this Consent Judgment as denoted by placement of an "X" next to the Site under the Column Heading for Occidental and each of the Occidental ACO Sites.

"Orphan Site Directives" shall mean the following directives issued by the Department related to Known CCPW Sites:

(i) Directive to AlliedSignal Inc., dated July 2, 1993; (ii) Directive to AlliedSignal Inc., Maxus Energy Corp., Occidental Chemical Corp., and PPG Industries, Inc. dated February 28, 1994; (iii) Directive to AlliedSignal Inc., dated March 2, 1994; (iv) Directive to AlliedSignal Inc., Occidental Chemical Corp., Maxus Energy Corp., and PPG Industries, Inc. dated August 3, 1995; (v) Directive to AlliedSignal Inc., dated January 8, 1998; (vi) Directive to AlliedSignal Inc., Occidental Chemical Corp., and PPG Industries, Inc. dated January 8, 1998; and (vii) Directive to Honeywell International Inc., Occidental Chemical Corp., and PPG Industries, Inc. dated May 3, 2005.

"Orphan Sites" shall mean collectively those sites that the Companies have agreed to Remediate pursuant to this Consent Judgment, which are identified on Appendix A to this Consent Judgment.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Judgment identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper case letter.

"Party" or "Parties" shall mean plaintiff DEP, plaintiff Administrator, and the Settling Defendants.

"Past Cleanup and Removal Costs" shall mean all costs, including direct and indirect costs, the State incurred or resulting from work or activities taking place by or on behalf of the State, on or before the Effective Date, related in any way to the Known CCPW Sites or in taking any administrative or other action of any description related to CCPW, Known CCPW Sites, chromium contaminated sites, or their Remediation, including without limitation all "Cleanup and Removal Costs," and/or "oversight costs" and "remediation costs," as those terms are defined in N.J.A.C. 7:26C-1.3, and including any costs recoverable by the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund, including all costs related to the remediation the Department has conducted at Liberty State Park (Site 15), the Former Morris Canal Site No. 2 (Site 175), and Tempesta & Sons (Site 165) or

otherwise incurred in connection with the Orphan Site Directives.

"Plaintiffs" shall mean DEP and the Administrator.

"PPG" shall mean PPG Industries, Inc., a corporation organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with its principal place of business at One PPG Place, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and its successors and assigns.

"PPG ACO" shall mean the Administrative Consent Order between the Department and PPG regarding the Hudson County Chromate Chemical Production Waste Sites, dated July 19, 1990.

"PPG Consent Judgment" shall mean the Partial Consent Judgment entered by the Court on June 26, 2009, with respect to the PPG ACO Sites and the Orphan Sites designated in Appendix B of the PPG Consent Judgment and set forth in paragraph 25 hereof.

"PPG Sites" shall mean those sites PPG has agreed to Remediate pursuant to this Consent Judgment, which are identified on Appendix A to this Consent Judgment as denoted by placement of an "X" next to the Site under the Column Heading for PPG and each of the PPG ACO Sites.

"Related Parties" shall mean, as provided in Section IX, Paragraph 32, the Companies' indemnitors and indemnitees, and the direct, indirect and ultimate parents, subsidiaries and affiliates of any of them to the extent that the alleged

liability of the Related Party with respect to a Site is based on its capacity as a Related Party of one of the Companies, and not to the extent that the alleged liability of the Related Party arose independently of its status and capacity as the Related Party of one of the Companies.

"Releasees" shall mean the Companies and the Related Parties and their past, present and future direct or ultimate parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and the officers, directors, shareholders, agents, representatives, employees, and assigns of any of them, to the extent that the alleged liability of the Releasee with respect to a Site is based on its relationship to a Company or Related Party and not to the extent that the alleged liability of the Releasee arose independently of such relationship.

"Remediation" or "Remediate" shall have the definition in N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11b, except as specified in Section IV, Paragraph 6 below,; provided, however, that "Remediation" or "Remediate" shall not include the payment of compensation for damage to, or loss of, natural resources.

"Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Judgment identified by a Roman numeral.

"Sewer Protocol" shall mean the procedures to be used for the Remediation of Sewer Sites, as set forth in Appendix B to this Consent Judgment.

"Sewer Site" shall mean a Site or a portion of a Site at which CCPW was used for the bedding, fill, or otherwise used in the construction of municipal or public sewers, water mains or lines, sumps, pumps, transfer stations, other related components of a sewer or water distribution system or other utility lines. A complete list of Known CCPW sites that are Sewer Sites is attached to this Consent Judgment at Appendix F. Notwithstanding the list at Appendix F, any other Site or portion of a Site that qualifies under the first sentence of this definition may utilize the Sewer Protocol for the remediation of such Site or portion thereof, as applicable.

"Site" shall mean a Known CCPW Site or Newly Discovered Site, as the context indicates or requires. The term "Site" followed by a number shall refer to the corresponding numbered site appearing on the numbered list of CCPW Sites maintained by the Department, the most recent version of which is attached to this Consent Judgment as Appendix D.

"State" shall mean the State of New Jersey.

"Technical Requirements for Site Remediation" shall mean the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection regulations codified at N.J.A.C.7:26E.

"Turnpike Sites" shall mean Sites 20, 21 and 192.

IV. SETTLING DEFENDANTS' SITE REMEDIATION

- 5. Remedial Responsibility. Subject to the specific provisions set forth elsewhere in this Consent Judgment, the Settling Defendants accept responsibility for the remediation of CCPW on the Known CCPW Sites other than the three Turnpike Sites.
- A. Each Settling Defendant shall only be liable to Remediate those Orphan Sites it accepts as specified in the allocation in Appendix A, and shall provide financial assurances to DEP (subject to Paragraph 9 below) for those Orphan Sites for which it has accepted responsibility.
- B. To the extent an Orphan Site is identified on Exhibit A as a Site assigned to both Honeywell and PPG, Honeywell and PPG may elect to retain contractors jointly to implement the Remediation or otherwise comply with the terms of this Consent Judgment or alternatively may elect to identify one Company that will serve as lead Company for implementing the Remediation and otherwise complying with the terms of this Consent Judgment. Honeywell and PPG shall proceed jointly to perform their Remediation at Sites for which they share responsibility unless and until the two Companies notify the Department in writing of an alternative arrangement, which may include a reallocation of responsibility for Sites between Honeywell and PPG as long as each Site has an assigned Settling Defendant. As between them,

Honeywell and PPG shall each bear 50% of the costs of Remediation under this Consent Judgment associated with any Site assigned to both Honeywell and PPG. As provided in Section X, each Settling Defendant shall receive a covenant not to sue from DEP as set out in Appendix C, and a covenant not to sue from DEP and a release from the other Settling Defendants, as to those Orphan Sites accepted by the other Settling Defendants, within 30 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment.

- C. Upon completion of Remediation of CCPW at any Known CCPW Site or Area of Concern at such Site by a given Settling Defendant, subject to any required post-Remediation monitoring and maintenance, the Settling Defendant(s) that conduct(s) the satisfactory Remediation of such Site(s) shall receive a Final Remediation Document and a covenant not to sue pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10-13B.1 ("Statutory Covenant"), and, if applicable, proof of completion as provided in paragraph 23.G. below.
- 6. Remediation Limited to CCPW. At any Site for which a Settling Defendant has accepted remedial responsibility, that Settling Defendant's remedial responsibility shall be limited to CCPW, and shall not extend to other hazardous substances, solid or hazardous wastes, chemicals, pollutants, or historic fill, unless DEP can demonstrate that the Settling Defendant was an owner, operator or in any other way responsible for the hazardous substances other than CCPW on the Site. Prior to the

Execution Date, the Department has not identified any Orphan Site it believes falls into the owner/operator/any other way responsible category and represents hereby that to the best of its knowledge, information or belief as of the Execution Date, no such Orphan Site exists. To the extent that the remediation of CCPW at a Site requires the remediation of other hazardous substances that are commingled with the CCPW, the Settling Defendant accepting responsibility for the Site shall also remediate the hazardous substances commingled with the CCPW, but only as necessary to remediate the CCPW, and the Settling Defendants expressly reserve all rights they may have against any third parties with respect to such commingled substances.

7. Geographic Scope of Responsibility at Sites. At any Site for which a Settling Defendant accepts remedial responsibility, the responsibility to Remediate such Site shall be limited to the property boundaries of the Site itself, and shall not extend onto neighboring properties unless: (a) CCPW has been placed on or migrated from the Site in such a manner as to extend beyond a property boundary; (b) groundwater contaminated with chromium associated with CCPW placed on the Site is migrating from the Site; or (c) surface water or other erosion caused the CCPW to migrate onto a neighboring site. Discovery of CCPW on a neighboring property or area beyond a property boundary shall not be presumed to be part of the original Site unless one or

more of the circumstances enumerated in (a), (b) or (c) above are shown to exist. In the absence of such circumstances, such area shall be deemed a Newly Discovered Site.

- A. Sewer Sites. A Settling Defendant accepting responsibility for a Sewer Site shall be responsible for the remediation of CCPW used as bedding or fill for the sewer line (and any groundwater contamination associated with such use of CCPW as bedding or fill), but shall not be responsible for other areas of contamination that may be present on the properties across which the sewer line runs.
- B. Migration to Water Bodies. A Settling Defendant accepting or that has accepted responsibility for a Site from which releases of CCPW or chromium contaminated groundwater has or may have occurred from the Site to the Hackensack River, the Newark Bay, or the Passaic River shall investigate any such releases and shall have remedial responsibility to prevent continued releases to the water body. Remediation of sediments in the main stems of the Hackensack River, Newark Bay, or the Passaic River shall not be the subject of this Consent Judgment or any Existing ACO, and DEP reserves all of its rights to take any action it deems appropriate with respect to such Remediation and the Settling Defendants reserve any and all rights and defenses to such action. Notwithstanding the above, swales, tributaries or drainage ditches on the Site leading into the

main stems of the water bodies named above shall be considered within the scope of the required Remediation. Each Existing ACO is deemed modified to reflect the foregoing provision.

- Newly Discovered Sites are Newly Discovered Sites. С. beyond the scope of this Consent Judgment, and DEP agrees that the Settling Defendants shall not be required to Remediate Newly Discovered Sites pursuant to this Consent Judgment. DEP and the Settling Defendants reserve all rights and defenses each might have regarding responsibility for such sites, provided however, that in the event DEP and one or more of the Settling Defendants which agreement by such Settling subsequently reach Defendant(s)accept remedial responsibility for any Discovered Site, such Site can be incorporated into and governed by the terms of this Consent Judgment upon the written agreement of the Settling Defendant(s) with which subsequent agreement has been reached.
- 8. Sewer Protocol Governs Sewer Site Remediation. Sites or portions of Sites that constitute a Sewer Site shall be remediated in accordance with the Sewer Protocol attached as Appendix B. The Sewer Protocol has been approved by Jersey City authorities.
- 9. Financial Assurances. The Companies shall provide evidence of Remediation funding sources to assure Remediation of the Sites as follows:

- The financial Occidental Remediation Funding Sources. assurance provisions in the Occidental ACO (Section V., Paragraphs A.57 through 61) shall apply as the Remediation funding source for the Occidental Sites. The Department agrees that the type and amount of such financial assurance complies with and is sufficient under the Administrative Requirements for Technical the Remediation of Contaminated Sites and the Requirements for Site Remediation as a Remediation funding source for the Occidental Sites. The Department further agrees annual cost review procedures required bv Administrative Requirements for the Remediation of Contaminated Sites at N.J.A.C. 7:26C-5.10 and 5.11 shall be deemed satisfied by compliance with paragraphs 62 and 63 of the OCC ACO regarding "Project Cost Review".
- B. PPG Remediation Funding The financial Source. assurance provisions in the PPG ACO (Section VIII, Paragraphs 84 through 88) shall apply as the Remediation funding source for the PPG Sites and for 50% of the estimated remedial costs for those Sites that are shared jointly between Honeywell and PPG. The Department agrees that the type and amount of such financial assurance complies with and is sufficient Administrative Requirements for the Remediation of Contaminated Sites and the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation as a Remediation funding source for the PPG Sites.

- Honeywell Remediation Funding Sources. Within ninety C. (90) calendar days after a remedy has been selected for a Honeywell Site, Honeywell shall establish a Remediation funding source equal to the estimated cost of the selected remedy. Remediation funding source established by Honeywell under this accordance with the Department's Paragraph shall be in regulations codified at N.J.A.C. 7:26C-5, or, alternatively, in court order governing accordance with any federal performance of any remedial action at any Honeywell Site that is subject to both Departmental and federal court oversight of remedial actions. For those sites for which Honeywell and PPG any such Remediation funding jointly share responsibility, source established by Honeywell shall be equal to 50% of the estimated cost of the selected remedy.
- (i) Modification of Paragraph 35 of the Honeywell ACO. Paragraph 35 of the Honeywell ACO shall be deleted and replaced, in its entirety, with the following language: "Upon receipt of the Department's written approval of remedial action plans for the Sites, Allied (Honeywell) shall implement the Department-approved remedial actions for the Sites."
- (ii) Deletion of Other Paragraphs of the Honeywell ACO. The terms of Paragraph 9.C. of this Consent Judgment shall govern Honeywell's obligation to provide a Remediation funding

source for Honeywell Sites and Paragraphs 36, 37 and 53 through 58 of the Honeywell ACO shall be of no further force and effect.

- D. Remediation Funding Source for Sewer Sites. The estimated costs of the implementation of those remedial actions set forth in Paragraphs B.1, B.2, or B.3 of the Sewer Protocol, as applicable, shall provide the basis for the establishment or calculation of the amount of any Remediation Funding Source to be established for any Sewer Site pursuant to Paragraph 9.C. of this Consent Judgment.
- E. Surcharge Exemption. DEP acknowledges and agrees that the exemption at N.J.S.A. 58:10B-11.a.(3) applies to the financial assurance obligations at any and all Sites that the Settling Defendants, or any of them, agrees or has agreed to Remediate.

V. OTHER PARTIES' RESPONSIBILITY FOR CERTAIN SITES

10. Completed Remediation. The Department represents that it has determined Remediation related to chromium or CCPW is complete at Known CCPW Sites 138, 150, 152, 162, 175 and 177 to the least restrictive cleanup standards or criteria as governed by the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation and that no further remedial action is required. DEP shall provide releases and covenants not to sue (in the form set out at Appendix C) to the Settling Defendants with respect to these Sites within 30

days of the entry of this Consent Judgment as provided in Section X below. At such time as said covenants not to sue are issued, Settling Defendants and Related Parties shall further be entitled to statutory and contractual contribution protection as set forth in Section XV below.

- 11. Turnpike to Accept Turnpike Sites. DEP shall require the New Jersey Turnpike Authority to Remediate Sites 20, 21, and 192 in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations, including the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation, to the extent that such Remediation is required, and shall provide releases and covenants not to sue (in the form set out at Appendix C) to the Settling Defendants with respect to these three sites within thirty (30) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment as provided in Section X below. The Settling Defendants and Related Parties shall be entitled to statutory and contractual contribution protection as set forth in Section XV below.
- 12. Multi-contaminant Sites to be Remediated by Owner. The Department agrees that the Companies shall not be required to Remediate the Multi-contaminant Sites pursuant to this Consent Judgment. To the extent that any further Remediation of CCPW, other material containing CCPW, chromium, or chromic acid is deemed necessary by the Department under applicable laws at any Multi-contaminant Site, at any time now or in the future, the

Department will require such Remediation from the site owners or other persons or entities who may be responsible under the Spill Act ("Responsible Parties") and will not proceed against the any of them and actions against Honeywell, or Companies. Occidental and PPG shall be limited to suits by such Responsible Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing Parties. Paragraph shall affect the rights, if any, of such Responsible Parties to proceed against the Companies for contribution, and the Multi-contaminant Sites are excluded from the scope of provided Section XV. contribution protection in notwithstanding the above, if no viable responsible party exists that voluntarily or by court order will Remediate or pay for the Remediation of a Multi-contaminant Site, the Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek Remediation of CCPW at that Multi-contaminant Site by one or more of the Companies. The Companies reserve all rights to contest the Plaintiffs' attempt to secure Remediation.

13. Liberty State Park. The Settling Defendants' payment of Past Cleanup and Removal Costs under Paragraph 17 below includes but is not limited to payment for Past Cleanup and Removal Costs at Liberty State Park. The Department represents that Liberty State Park has been Remediated by the Department in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations, including the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation. Notwithstanding

the above representation, DEP agrees to undertake at its own expense, if necessary, additional Remediation of: (i) the CCPW contamination at Liberty State Park known as of the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment based on DEP's work at the site or other information available; and (ii) the CCPW contamination that would have been identified to DEP based on a response action conducted pursuant to the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation prior to the Effective Date. DEP shall provide within 30 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment a covenant not to sue (in the form set out at Appendix C) for all Future Cleanup and Removal Costs arising out of CCPW at Liberty State Park to the Settling Defendants as provided in Section X and in accordance with this paragraph.

14. The Settling Defendants' payment of Past Cleanup and Removal Costs under Paragraph 17 below includes but is not limited to payment for Past Cleanup and Removal Costs at Site 165 (Tempesta Site). DEP agrees to undertake at its own expense, if necessary, additional Remediation of CCPW at the Tempesta Site. Honeywell shall reimburse Plaintiff's future cleanup and removal costs at the Tempesta Site in an amount of up to one million dollars. DEP shall provide within 30 days of the entry of this Consent Judgment a covenant not to sue (in the form set out at Appendix C) for all Future Cleanup and Removal Costs arising out of CCPW at the Tempesta Site to the Settling

Defendants as provided in Section X and in accordance with this paragraph.

- 15. Colony Diner. DEP agrees that it will allow Honeywell to take over Remediation of the Colony Diner Site that was previously initiated by DEP. Without limitation of the foregoing, DEP will allow Honeywell to: (a) review all existing data with respect to pilot treatment studies conducted on the Site; (b) evaluate the data in selection of a remedial action; (c) complete the remedial evaluation process initiated by DEP; and (d) forebear in selection of a remedial action until Honeywell has completed its work. The terms of this Paragraph shall also apply to Known CCPW Sites 68, 69, and 130.
- 16. Completed Sites Requiring Additional Remediation. Following issuance of a Final Remediation Document for any Known CCPW Site, any future or additional Remediation of such Site shall be governed as follows: A. With respect to the Orphan Sites and ACO Sites (or any portion thereof) that have received a Final Remediation Document, in the event that DEP is permitted by law to require the Settling Defendant(s) to which the Site has been allocated pursuant to this Consent Judgment to perform additional Remediation of the CCPW contamination at or emanating from the Site, such Settling Defendant(s) agrees to conduct such additional Remediation as the Department, subject to Paragraph 27.B., is authorized to require.

B. Any site other than the Orphan Sites and ACO Sites that has received a Final Remediation Document and at which the Department is permitted by law to require additional Remediation of CCPW contamination, shall be designated a Newly Discovered Site and addressed in accordance with paragraph 7.C.

VI. PAYMENT OF COSTS

- Within sixty (60) calendar days 17. Payment of Past Costs. after the Effective Date, Honeywell, Occidental, and PPG will severally pay Five Million Dollars (US) the DEP each (\$5,000,000.00) for a total payment of \$15,000,000 in settlement of the Plaintiffs' claims for all Past Cleanup and Removal If payment by a Settling Defendant is not made by that time, Interest shall begin to accrue on the unpaid amount owed Defendant which shall be the further such Settling by responsibility only of the Settling Defendant that failed to timely submit payment.
- 18. The Settling Defendants shall pay the amounts specified in Paragraph 16 above by certified check made payable to the "Treasurer, State of New Jersey". The Settling Defendants shall mail or otherwise deliver the payment and payment invoice to the Section Chief, Cost Recovery and Natural Resource Damages Section, Department of Law and Public Safety, Division of Law,

- Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex, 25 Market Street, P.O. Box 093, Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0093.
- 19. The covenants and releases contained in this Consent Judgment shall take effect as to a Settling Defendant upon the Plaintiffs receiving the payment the Settling Defendant is required to make pursuant to Paragraph 17 above, in full, and in the prescribed time and manner.
- 20. Excluding the Statutory Covenant(s) to be provided, the covenants and releases contained in this Consent Judgment extend only to the Settling Defendants' and the Related Parties, and not to any other person.
- Payment of Future Costs. Within the time period prescribed in its Existing ACO, from the date that each Company receives from the Department a summary of costs in connection with the Department's oversight of Remediation at the Orphan Sites for which that Company has accepted responsibility under Consent Judgment for a fiscal year or any part thereof, provided that such costs are consistent with the Spill Act and the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation, each Company pay to the Department its Future Oversight applicable to that Company's Orphan Sites. Payments will be made in the manner specified by that Company's Existing ACO. summary of costs prepared by the Department pursuant to this Paragraph shall include cost documentation that verifies that

the claimed costs were incurred and that the amount of the costs was properly calculated and further shall include the amount, date, and entity or person to whom the costs were paid or by whom the costs were incurred. For those Orphan Sites for which Honeywell and PPG have jointly accepted responsibility under this Consent Judgment, the Department shall severally seek 50% of its Future Oversight Costs from Honeywell and 50% from PPG.

VII. REMEDIATION PROCEDURES

22. Effect of Settlement. The remedial procedures set forth herein, including the remedial, financial assurance, schedule development, and other obligations of the Settling Defendants and the oversight and supervision requirements of DEP, are material terms of this settlement. Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10C-27(e), the remedial procedures and other terms set forth herein shall govern the remediation conducted by Settling Defendants at the Orphan and ACO Sites.

23. Remediation Schedule and Submittal Process.

A. DEP Data. Within sixty (60) calendar days after the Effective Date, the DEP will make available to each Company, as applicable, any and all data, sample results and reports generated by or on behalf of the DEP in connection with Remediation of each Orphan Site accepted by such Company to aid in preparation of appropriate Remediation submittals and in

setting forth the schedule and manner of proceeding to Remediate such Sites. The Companies may incorporate and utilize data, sample results and reports generated by DEP at the Orphan Sites in preparation of their Remediation submittals.

- B. Schedule Development. Provided DEP has complied with Paragraph 23.A. above, then within one hundred fifty (150) calendar days after the Effective Date, each Settling Defendant shall separately submit to DEP for approval a Master Schedule for the Orphan Sites and ACO Sites for which it has accepted responsibility. Each Settling Defendant's Master Schedule shall establish Remediation timeframes, as applicable and appropriate, for each of the following:
 - (a) Receptor evaluation;
 - (b) Control of ongoing sources of contamination;
 - (c) Establishment of interim remedial measures;
 - (d) Addressing immediate environmental concern conditions;
 - (e) The performance of each phase of the Remediation including preliminary assessment, site investigation, remedial investigation, and remedial action; and
 - (f) Completion of Remediation.

Any disputes between DEP and the Company concerning the schedule shall be resolved in accordance with Paragraph 28 or 29.

C. Effect of Prior Data on Schedule or Work. DEP and the Settling Defendants recognize that DEP's previous investigations and actions at certain Orphan Sites and/or the current status of remediation at certain ACO Sites may make the conduct of one or

more of the activities set forth in Paragraph 23.B. above unnecessary at one or more such Sites. As a result, the Settling Defendants shall incorporate site data and information obtained from DEP pursuant to Paragraph 23.A. and the status of remediation at any ACO Site into the development of each Settling Defendant's Master Schedule. In developing the Master Schedule, each Settling Defendant shall take into account the following factors with respect to each Site for which it has accepted responsibility and DEP shall take account of the following factors in evaluating the Master Schedule:

- (a) the potential risk to the public health, safety, and the environment;
- (b) the results of any receptor evaluation;
- (c) the ongoing industrial or commercial operations at the Site and the need for coordination with same:
- (d) whether, for ongoing industrial or commercial facilities there are releases of contamination to the groundwater or surface water from the Site;
- (e) the complexity of the contaminated site;
- (f) the results of sampling data or other environmental information regarding the Site provided by DEP pursuant to Paragraph 23.A.;
- (g) the current remedial status of any ACO Site;
- (h) the number of sites to be remediated and practical limitations on implementing multiple simultaneous site remedies; and
- (i) schedules, requirements, or other obligations mandated by any federal court or agency judgment, order, or settlement.

- D. Schedule Extensions.
- (a) Each Settling Defendant may seek, and DEP shall grant, extensions to a Settling Defendant's Master Schedule as a result of:
 - (i) a delay by DEP in reviewing or granting a permit, provided that there was a timely filing of a technically and administratively complete permit application; or
 - (ii) a delay by DEP for an approval or permit required for long-term operation, maintenance, and monitoring of an engineering control at a Site provided the request for approval or permit application is technically and administratively complete;
 - (iii) a delay by any governmental agency in providing any required permit or approval under the jurisdiction of such agency relating to remedial activity provided that there was a timely filing of a technically and administratively complete permit or approval application;
 - (iv) other circumstances beyond the control of the Settling Defendant, such as fire, flood, riot, strike, or other force majeure circumstances as set forth in each Settling Defendant's Existing ACO; and
 - (v) Dispute resolution.
- (b) Each Settling Defendant may seek, and DEP may grant, extensions to a Settling Defendant's Master Schedule as a result of:
 - (i) a delay in obtaining access to property, provided the Settling Defendant demonstrates that good faith efforts have undertaken to gain access, and access has not timely been granted by the property owner;
 - (ii) efforts to minimize interference with operations, development, construction or demolition at a Site;
 - (iii) efforts to cooperate with Site owners or other interested parties to coordinate remedial

- activity with remediation or other Site work being implemented by such owners or interested parties;
- (iv) unexpected site preparation activities to ready a site for Remediation including, by way of example, relocation of underground utilities;
- (v) Site specific circumstances that may warrant an extension as determined by DEP, including any change recommended or approved by a federal court (or agent thereof) or agency for those Sites that are the subject of federal court or agency oversight or supervision.
- (c) The length of any extension proposed by a Settling Defendant pursuant to paragraphs 23.D.(a) or (b) above shall be subject to consent by DEP, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.
- E. Site Remediation Documents. In the development of a Master Schedule, each Settling Defendant shall, at a minimum, provide for the submission of the following documents to DEP for additional review and auditing pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10C-21.
 - (a) A Remedial Investigation Report for each CCPW
 Site for which the Settling Defendant has
 accepted responsibility unless the Settling
 Defendant has previously submitted a Remedial
 Investigation Report to DEP or the Settling
 Defendant determines that DEP's previous remedial
 investigation at an Orphan Site provides a
 sufficient basis to proceed with remedial action
 selection.
 - (b) A Remedial Action Selection Report and Remedial Action Work Plan for each CCPW Site for which the Settling Defendant has accepted responsibility unless such documents have previously been submitted to DEP.
 - (c) A Remedial Action Report.

Each such submittal shall be provided to DEP.

- F. Election of Review Procedures. Upon submission of the Master Schedule each Settling Defendant shall elect for each Site a remediation review procedure from those set forth in paragraphs 24, 25 or 26 below to be applied to each such Site or Sites. Requests by a Settling Defendant to change the review procedure initially elected for a Site shall be submitted to DEP in writing and subject to the consent of DEP, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.
- G. Proof of Completion. Upon completion of Remediation at a Site, DEP shall issue to the Settling Defendant(s) responsible for Remediating such Site as applicable to the review procedure elected for such Site: (a) an NFA Letter, provided the DEP determines that the completed remediation is protective of public health and the environment; or (b) a letter stating that the remediation requirements for the Site have been satisfied under this Consent Judgment, within ninety (90) days of Settling Defendant's submission of final documentation related to the complete remediation for the Site.
- 24. Heightened DEP Review. DEP Review of Submittals. In the development of a Master Schedule, each Settling Defendant shall provide a period of 90 days for the DEP to review each document submitted pursuant to the Master Schedule. In conducting its review, DEP shall advise whether or not the submittal complies with this Consent Judgment and the Technical Requirements for

Site Remediation, and if not, the reasons therefore. review is within the 90-day review period included in the Master Schedule, no modifications to the Master Schedule will be made in the absence of another basis for extension as allowed in this Consent Judgment. If DEP's review is greater than the 90-day review period included in the Master Schedule, the Master Schedule will be modified to account for the time DEP required to complete the review beyond the 90 day allowance. respect to any submittal that DEP finds does not comply with this Consent Judgment or the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation, the relevant Settling Defendant shall: (a) modify the document in conformance with DEP's comments and re-submit document for further review in accordance with this paragraph; (b) invoke the provisions of Paragraph 28; or (c) invoke dispute resolution to resolve any issues in dispute. Sites at which remediation activities are proceeding under the direction of a Licensed Site Remediation Professional ("LSRP"), the Settling Defendant shall proceed with the course remediation unless DEP has determined that the actions being taken or proposed by the Settling Defendant do not comply with this Consent Judgment or the Technical Requirements for Site With respect to any LSRP submittal that DEP finds Remediation. does not comply with this Consent Judgment or the Technical Remediation, the relevant Settling Requirements for Site

Defendant shall: (a) modify the actions being taken or proposed to be taken in conformance with DEP's comments; (b) invoke the provisions of Paragraph 28; or (c) invoke dispute resolution to resolve any issues in dispute. DEP's review of written submissions under and in compliance with this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the terms of this Consent Judgment and shall not be subject to, or governed by, the Grace Period Rule or the deadlines, fines, and/or penalties set forth therein. Each existing ACO is deemed modified to reflect the foregoing provision.

Election under Site Remediation Reform Act. Any Settling to apply the remediation procedures may elect otherwise set forth in N.J.S.A. 58-10C-1 et seq. in lieu of the procedures set forth in Paragraph 24 above, to any Orphan Site or ACO Site for which it has accepted responsibility by providing written notice of such election to DEP and by complying with the remediation procedures set forth therein or regulations adopted pursuant thereto. The remaining provisions of this Consent Judgment shall continue to apply to such Site(s) notwithstanding said election. Upon providing written notice of such election, a Settling Defendant shall promptly revise its Master Schedule to reflect any changes necessary to comply with the remediation procedures set forth in the Site Remediation Reform Act.

Settling Any PPG Consent Judgment. under Election Defendant may elect to apply the remediation procedures set forth in Articles XV to XVIII of the PPG Consent Judgment in lieu of the procedures set forth in Paragraph 24 above, to any Site for which it has ACO Site or responsibility, by providing written notice of such election to DEP and by complying with the remediation procedures set forth The remaining provisions of this Consent Judgment shall continue to apply to such Site(s) notwithstanding said election, but in the case of any inconsistency between this Consent Judgment and the PPG Consent Judgment, the PPG Consent Judgment shall govern. PPG has already made this election for the PPG ACO Sites and the following Orphan Sites: 174, 186, 202, Upon providing written notice of such 203, 204 and 207. election, a Settling Defendant shall promptly revise its Master Schedule to reflect any changes necessary to comply with the remediation procedures set forth in the PPG Consent Judgment.

27. Remediation Standards.

A. February 2007 Chrome Policy. For each CCPW Site at which it has accepted responsibility, a Settling Defendant shall conduct remediation of CCPW in accordance with the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation and with DEP's February 2007 Chrome Policy. To the extent that DEP determines that it is appropriate to change remediation guidance set forth in the

February 2007 Chrome Policy or to add or change soil or groundwater standards with respect to chromium, such changes shall apply to CCPW Sites only after formal rulemaking with an opportunity for notice and comment, and, in the case of any groundwater standards, soil or chromium in rulemaking shall consider the review of scientific studies and literature currently being conducted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency under its IRIS program as well other scientific studies conducted in response to National Toxicity Program's (NTP) study on hexavalent chromium. In the event that (i) DEP changes the February 2007 Chrome Policy without engaging in formal rulemaking or (ii) DEP changes soil or groundwater standards for chromium without engaging in formal rulemaking that considers the results of EPA's IRIS chromium review, a Settling Defendant may elect to perform such required may be remedial action as Department's Order of Magnitude Guidance at any CCPW Site or terminate any remaining remedial obligations at any CCPW Site(s) for which it has not received a Final Remediation Document or implemented a final remedy. DEP and the Settling Defendant shall reserve all rights, claims, and defenses against each other with respect to any CCPW Site or Sites for which a Settling Defendant has terminated its obligations pursuant to this Paragraph.

- B. Order of Magnitude Guidance. In the event that DEP changes soil or groundwater standards for chromium in accordance with the requirements of Paragraph 27.A., upon request by the DEP, the Department's Order of Magnitude Guidance shall be applied to the CCPW Sites to determine whether further remedial actions are necessary.
 - (a) In applying the Order of Magnitude Guidance to any CCPW Site with an existing Final Remediation Document or final remedy, a Settling Defendant shall compare all post-remediation analytical results for hexavalent chromium to the new standard.
 - (b) If the comparison in (a) above reveals an order of magnitude difference between any post remediation analytical results for hexavalent chromium and the new standard, a Settling Defendant will thereafter evaluate the continued effectiveness of the remedy against the new standard.
 - (c) If further remedial action is required at a CCPW Site pursuant to the results of such evaluation and the Order of Magnitude Guidance, a Settling Defendant shall proceed to Remediate in accordance with the procedures set forth in Paragraphs 23.A. through 23.G.
- 28. Forum for Technical Discussion. DEP shall make its senior staff, including but not limited to the Assistant Commissioner for Site Remediation, available to meet with the Settling Defendants no later than six weeks from the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, and thereafter as warranted, to discuss technical issues that could include, but not be limited to: (i) use of compliance averaging for inhalation and ingestion endpoints; (ii) methods for approval and implementation of

Alternate Remedial Standards (ARSs) for CCPW in soils (including a soil ingestion ARS); (iii) methods for approval and implementation of ARSs for groundwater; (iv) analytical methods and data validation, including Method Comparison Study results; (v) groundwater classification and appropriate use of impact to groundwater standards; vi) NTP chromium study results; and (vii) development of or revision to any Master Schedule created pursuant to Paragraph 23.A.

VIII, DISPUTE RESOLUTION

29. Adoption of Dispute Resolution Procedure. Without limitation to use of the forum provided by Paragraph 28, above, in the event a dispute arises between the Settling Defendants and DEP on technical matters, the Settling Defendants may appeal any decision of DEP's initial decisionmaker through his or her supervisory chain of command to a panel of DEP assistant directors selected by the Assistant Commissioner for Site Remediation.

IX. TERMINATION OF LITIGATION

30. Termination of Litigation. The PPG Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment resolve, settle, and satisfy all claims between the Plaintiffs and the three Settling Defendants in New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, et al. v. Honeywell International Inc., et al., Docket No. C77-05, pending

in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, Hudson County (the "Litigation"), and shall result in a termination of the Litigation with prejudice. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, as further provided in Section XX below. DEP shall continue to enforce the terms of both the Consent Judgment and existing ACOs with the Settling Defendants.

31. Existing ACOs.

- A. Effect of Consent Judgment. Each Company shall be individually and severally responsible for Remediation of the ACO Sites identified under its Existing ACO, which will continue to govern Remediation of such Sites. Except as expressly modified by the terms of this Consent Judgment, and subject to the right of election set forth in paragraphs 25 and 26, each Existing ACO shall remain in full force and effect and the terms of each are considered a part of this Consent Judgment as to such Sites. Subject to the right of election set forth in Paragraphs 25 and 26, Remediation of the Orphan Sites shall be governed exclusively by this Consent Judgment.
- B. Specific Modifications. Without limitation of the foregoing and notwithstanding modifications to existing ACOs expressly made elsewhere in this Consent Judgment, the following additional modifications to Existing ACOs shall be deemed made hereby:

As to the Honeywell ACO: Paragraphs 1 through 18, 37 through 39, 42 through 52, 59 through 70, 72 through 92 and 94 through 110 shall continue in full force and effect. All remaining paragraphs are deemed null and void.

As to the OCC ACO: Paragraphs 1 through 24, 57 through 71, 75, 77, 79 through 84, 87, 90, 93, 99 through 106 and 108 through 110 shall continue in full force and effect. All remaining paragraphs are deemed null and void.

As to the PPG ACO Paragraphs 1 through 33, 84 through 88, 94 through 102, 106, 108, 110 through 115, 118, 121, 124, 131 through 132, and 134 through 137 shall continue in full force and effect. All remaining paragraphs are deemed null and void. 32. Settlement Benefits. This Consent Judgment inures to the benefit of the State and the Settling Defendants and to the benefit of the Settling Defendants' indemnitors and indemnitees, and the direct, indirect and ultimate parents, subsidiaries and affiliates of any of them (Related Parties) to the extent that the alleged liability of the Related Party with respect to a site is based on its capacity as a Related Party of one of the Settling Defendants, and not to the extent that the alleged liability of the Related Party arose independently of its status and capacity as the Related Party of one of the Settling Defendants. Nothing herein shall in any way change or modify

the November 7, 2003, Restoration Administrative Consent Order ("RACO").

X. RELEASE AND COVENANT NOT TO SUE

33. Release by Plaintiffs. For and in consideration of the payments and performance requirements set forth in this Consent Judgment, the Plaintiffs fully and forever release, surrender, acquit, discharge, covenant not to sue, or otherwise agree not to take administrative action against any of the Releasees for any and all of the Plaintiffs' claims and causes of actions as a result of alleged discharges of CCPW at each of the following Sites:

The Turnpike Sites;

Known CCPW Sites 150, 152, 162, 175 and 177;

Each Orphan Site, excluding as to each Company
only those Orphan Sites for which such Company has
accepted responsibility on Appendix A;

Liberty State Park, provided, however, that if
the Department determines that it is necessary to
implement Remediation after the Effective Date at
Liberty State Park as a result of a discharge of CCPW,
this Release applies only to costs arising out of such
Remediation to the extent that such Remediation
addresses: (i) the CCPW contamination at Liberty State

Park known as of the Effective Date based on the Department's work at the Liberty State Park or other information available; or (ii) the CCPW contamination that would have been identified to the Department based on Remediation conducted in accordance with the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation prior to the Effective Date.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Paragraph, the Plaintiffs do not release, surrender, acquit, discharge, covenant not to sue, or in any way waive or forego any claims or causes of action against a Company to enforce this Consent Judgment or to seek redress for any breach of this Consent Judgment.

34. Covenant Not to Sue. Within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, the Department will provide to each Company a Covenant Not to Sue in the form set forth in Exhibit C ("Covenant Not to Sue") as to each of the following Known CCPW Sites:

The Turnpike Sites;

Known CCPW Sites 150, 152, 162, 175 and 177;

Each Orphan Site, excluding as to each Company only those Orphan Sites for which such Company has accepted responsibility on Appendix A;

Liberty State Park, provided, however, that if the Department determines that it is necessary to implement additional Remediation at Liberty State Park as a result of a discharge of CCPW, the Covenant Not to Sue applies only to all future costs arising out of CCPW for : (i) the CCPW contamination at Liberty State Park known as of the Effective Date based on the Department's work at the Liberty State Park or other information available; and (ii) the CCPW contamination that would have been identified to the Department based on a Remediation conducted in accordance with the Technical Requirements for Site Remediation. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Paragraph, the Department does not covenant to forego the initiation of or continuance of litigation against any Company to enforce this Consent Judgment or to seek redress for a breach of this Consent Judgment

35. Release Among the Companies. For and in consideration of the allocation of payments and performance requirements set forth in this Consent Judgment, each Company (the "Releasing Company") on behalf of itself, and its Related Parties, fully and forever releases, surrenders, acquits, discharges, covenants not to sue, or otherwise take action against each other Releasee, for any and all claims and causes of action as a

result of alleged discharges of CCPW at each of the Releasing Company's Existing ACO Sites and Orphan Sites that it has agreed to remediate. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Paragraph, each Company and its Related Parties do not release, surrender, acquit, discharge, covenant not to sue, or in any way waive or forego any claims or causes of action against any other Company or any other Releasee (a) to enforce this Consent Judgment or to seek redress for any breach of this Consent migration, presence, related to the (b) Judament substance, any hazardous investigation or remediation of pollutant or contaminant in the Passaic River, Newark Bay, the Hackensack River, or tributaries thereof (except as required in accordance with Paragraph 7) or natural resource damages arising there from. The releases among Settling Defendants provided in this Paragraph shall become effective when each Covenant Not to Sue and release from Plaintiffs become effective and not sooner.

XI. PLAINTIFFS' RESERVATIONS

36. Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, the Plaintiffs reserve, and this Consent Judgment is without prejudice to, the Plaintiffs' right to sue or take administrative action to compel the Settling Defendants to further remediate CCPW at any Orphan Site, or to reimburse the

Plaintiffs for any additional costs and damages, if, before a Final Remediation Document is issued to the Settling Defendants:

- plaintiff DEP discovers conditions at the Site,
 previously unknown to plaintiff DEP; or
- ii. plaintiff DEP receives information, previously unknown to plaintiff DEP, in whole or in part; and these previously unknown conditions or information, together with any other relevant information, indicate that the Remediation for the Site is not protective of human health and safety, or the environment.
- 37. Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, the Plaintiffs reserve, and this Consent Judgment is without prejudice to, the Plaintiffs' right to sue or take administrative action to compel the Settling Defendants to further remediate CCPW at any Orphan Site, or to reimburse the Plaintiffs for any additional costs and damages, if, after a Final Remediation Document is issued to the Settling Defendants:
 - i. plaintiff DEP discovers conditions at the Site,
 previously unknown to plaintiff DEP; or
- ii. plaintiff DEP receives information, previously unknown to plaintiff DEP, in whole or in part; and these previously unknown conditions or information, together with any other relevant information, indicate that the

Remediation is not protective of human health and safety, or the environment.

- 38. For the purposes of Paragraph 36, the information and the conditions known to the Plaintiffs shall include only the information and conditions known to the Plaintiffs as of the date of the Final Remediation Document.
- 39. For the purposes of Paragraph 37, the information and the conditions known to the Plaintiffs shall include only the information and conditions known to the Plaintiffs as of the date of the Final Remediation Document, and any information received by plaintiff DEP pursuant to the requirements of this Consent Judgment and any administrative consent order before the date of the Final Remediation Document.
- 40. The covenants contained in the Consent Judgment above do not pertain to any matters other than those expressly stated. The Plaintiffs reserve, and this Consent Judgment is without prejudice to, all rights against the Settling Defendants concerning all other matters, except to the extent that such rights are settled or released independent of this Consent Judgment (such as pursuant to the RACO among the Parties of November 7, 2003), including the following:
 - a. claims based on the Settling Defendants' failure to satisfy any term or provision of this Consent Judgment;

- b. liability arising from the Settling Defendants' past, present or future discharge or unsatisfactory storage or containment of any hazardous substance outside any Site;
- c. liability for any future discharge or unsatisfactory storage or containment of any hazardous substance by the Settling Defendants at any Site, other than as provided for in any administrative consent order or as otherwise ordered or approved by plaintiff DEP;
- d. criminal liability;
- e. liability for any violation by the Settling

 Defendants of federal or state law that occurs

 during or after the remediation of any Site;

XII. SETTLING DEFENDANTS' COVENANTS

- 41. The Settling Defendants covenant not to oppose entry of this Consent Judgment by this Court, or to challenge any provision of this Consent Judgment, unless the Plaintiffs notify the Settling Defendants, in writing, that they no longer support entry of the Consent Judgment.
- 42. The Settling Defendants further covenant, subject to Paragraphs 44 and 45 below, not to sue or assert any claim or cause of action against the State, including any department,

agency or instrumentality of the State, excluding the New Jersey Turnpike Authority, concerning CCPW at any Orphan or ACO Site. This covenant shall include the following:

- a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Spill Compensation Fund ("Spill Fund") concerning any Site; and
- any claim or cause of action concerning the b. remediation of any Orphan or ACO Site, including selection, performance DEP's plaintiff oversight of the Remediation, or plaintiff DEP's approval of the plans for the Remediation so long compliance in actions are DEP's applicable law and the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 43. The Settling Defendants' covenant not to sue or to assert any claim or cause of action against the State pursuant to Paragraph 42 above shall not be effective until Plaintiffs' covenants and releases are effective as to such Settling Defendant and further do not apply where the Plaintiffs, the State, or any department, agency or instrumentality of the State sues or takes administrative action against the Settling Defendants pursuant to Section XI above.

XIII. SETTLING DEFENDANTS' RESERVATIONS

The Settling Defendants reserve, and this Consent Judgment is without prejudice to, (a) claims against the State of New Jersey, subject to the New Jersey Tort Claims Act, N.J.S.A.59:1-1 to -12-3; the New Jersey Contractual Liability Act, N.J.S.A. 59:13-1 to 13-10; the New Jersey Constitution, N.J.Const. art. VIII, §2, 2; or any other applicable provision of law, for money damages for injury or loss of property or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any State employee while acting within the scope of his office or employment under circumstances where the State, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant, (b) any claim to enforce this Consent Judgment; or (c) any claim challenging any legislative or administrative rule-making by the State. Any such claim, however, shall not include a claim for any damages caused, in whole or in part, by the act or omission of any person, including any contractor, who is not a State employee as that term is defined in N.J.S.A. 59:1-3; nor shall any such claim concerning any Site include plaintiff DEP's selection and performance of the remediation, or plaintiff DEP's oversight or approval of the Settling Defendants' plans or activities relating to the remediation. The foregoing applies only to claims that the Settling Defendants may bring pursuant to any statute other than the Spill Act and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than the Spill Act.

45. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim against the Spill Fund within the meaning of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11k or N.J.A.C. 7:1J.

XIV. VOLUNTARY NATURE OF SETTLEMENT; NO ADMISSIONS

- 46. (a) Nothing contained in this Consent Judgment shall be considered an admission by the Settling Defendants, or a finding by the Plaintiffs, of any fault, fact, wrongdoing or liability by any of the Parties.
- (b) This Consent Judgment has been voluntarily entered by the Parties and constitutes a document evidencing settlement of litigated claims pursuant to state and federal rules of evidence.

XV. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT & CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

47. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Judgment other than Related Parties as provided in Paragraph 31 above. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Consent Judgment may have under applicable law.

48. Each Settling Defendant expressly reserves all rights, including any right to contribution, defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action that each Settling Defendant may have concerning any matter, transaction, or occurrence concerning any Site against any person not a Party to this Consent Judgment.

49. Contribution Protection

Statutory Contribution Protection. When entered, this Judgment will constitute a judicially approved settlement within the meaning of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11f.a.(2)(b) and 42 U.S.C.A. § 9613(f)(2) for the purpose of providing protection to the Settling Defendants and Related Parties from contribution actions or claims for Cleanup and Removal Costs as a result of a discharge of CCPW, at the Known CCPW Sites (exclusive of the Multi-contaminant Sites). The Parties agree, and by entering this Consent Judgment this Court finds, that each Settling Defendant and each of their respective Related Parties is entitled, upon the Effective Date, subject to the Plaintiffs' receipt of payment from such Settling Defendant of the amount such Settling Defendant is required to make pursuant to Paragraph 17 above, to protection from contribution actions or claims for matters addressed in this Consent Judgment in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11f.a.(2)(b) and 42 U.S.C.A. § 9613(f)(2).

Contribution Protection. is the Ιt Contractual В. further intent of the State and the Companies and is so ordered by the Court that by entering into this Consent Judgment the Releasees shall be protected to the greatest extent possible from any contribution claim a third party may assert to the extent the claim arises from any judgment entered in favor of the State in any civil or administrative action the State brings to recover for Cleanup and Removal Costs at the Known CCPW Sites, exclusive of the Multi-contaminant Sites. further agrees that the Past Cleanup and Removal Costs payments made and costs incurred for work performed pursuant to this Consent Judgment constitute the Releasees' full and fair share of any claim or cause of action possessed by the State for the The State further agrees that Past matters addressed herein. Cleanup and Removal Costs payments made and costs incurred for this Consent Judgment do work performed pursuant to discharge any other potentially liable persons, but payments and costs reduce the potential liability of the others by the amount of the Past Costs payments and other costs Further, the State agrees that it will not oppose any motion or application by the Releasees in any subsequent action in which the Releasees seek the contribution protection that this Settlement Agreement is intended to provide. The State agrees that it will require in any future settlement agreement

that it reaches with any other person or entity regarding cleanup and removal at the Known CCPW Sites, or any of them, (exclusive of the Multi-contaminant Sites) a provision that such person or entity will not seek and by such future settlement agreement thereby waives all rights of contribution from the Releasees for the payment made and/or costs incurred there As the Past Cleanup and Removal Costs payments being made and costs for work performed in accordance with this Consent Judgment fully reimburse and/or satisfy the State for its claim for all Past and Future Cleanup and Removal Costs resulting from the discharges of CCPW, at the Known CCPW Sites and subject to the State's rights under this Consent Judgment or any Existing ACO to Future Oversight Costs, the State further agrees that if the State commences litigation against any other person or entity for Future Cleanup and Removal Costs resulting from discharges at any of the Known CCPW Sites (exclusive of the Multi-contaminant Sites), and if, despite the contribution protection afforded in accordance with this Consent Judgment, the Releasees are joined in that action, the State will amend its complaint to exclude claims for CCPW, related Past and Future Cleanup and Removal Costs (except as to Future Cleanup and Removal Costs at the Multi-contaminant Sites). The State further agrees that with respect to any such suit it will notify

the Companies in writing no later than 60 days after the initiation of such suit.

- oncerning the matters addressed in this Consent Judgment the plaintiffs published notice of this Consent Judgment in the New Jersey Register and on plaintiff DEP's website on June 20, 2011, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11e.2. Such notice included the following information:
 - a. the caption of this case;
 - b. a description of the sites being settled;
 - c. the names of the Settling Defendants; and
 - d. a summary of the terms of the Consent Judgment.
- 51. The Settling Defendants also published legal notices in three newspapers of general circulation in Hudson and Essex Counties for a period of three days, which notices contained the following information:
 - a. a description of the sites being settled;
 - b. the name of each Settling Defendant;
 - c. a summary of the terms of this Consent Judgment; and
 - d. the date public notice was published in the New Jersey Register.

- 52. The Plaintiffs, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11e2, arranged for written notice of the Consent Judgment to all other potentially responsible parties of whom the Plaintiffs had notice as of the date the Plaintiffs published notice of the proposed settlement in this matter in the New Jersey Register in accordance with paragraph 50 above.
- The Plaintiffs will submit this Consent Judgment to the Court for entry pursuant to Paragraph 69 below unless, as a result of the notice of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Paragraphs 50 and 51 above, the Plaintiffs receive information that discloses facts or considerations that indicate to them, in this Consent discretion, that sole inappropriate, improper or inadequate. In the event Plaintiffs determine that this Consent Judgment is inappropriate, improper or inadequate prior to its entry by the Court, this Consent Judgment is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement set forth in this Consent Judgment may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.
- 54. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the Plaintiffs for injunctive relief, recovery of costs and/or damages, or other appropriate relief concerning any Site, the Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim as to Plaintiffs based upon the

principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, the entire controversy doctrine or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims the Plaintiffs raise in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in this case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of this Consent Judgment, or any provision hereof, by, between or among the Parties.

XVI. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

- 55. Upon receipt of a written request by one or more of the Plaintiffs, and subject to Paragraph 56 below, the Settling Defendants shall submit or make available to the Plaintiffs all non-privileged information the Settling Defendant has concerning the Site for which information is requested, including technical records and contractual documents.
- 56. The Settling Defendant may assert a claim of confidentiality or privilege for any information requested by the Plaintiffs pursuant to this Consent Judgment. The Settling Defendant, however, agrees not to assert any privilege or confidentiality claim concerning data related to site conditions, sampling, or monitoring.

XVII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

- 57. Each Settling Defendant shall preserve during the pendency of this Consent Judgment and for a minimum of 6 years after its Effective Date, all data and information, including technical records, potential evidentiary documentation and contractual documents, in the Settling Defendant's possession or in the possession of its divisions, employees, agents, accountants, contractors, or attorneys, which in any way relate to implementation of Work under this Consent Judgment, despite any document retention policy to the contrary.
- Settling Defendant may request of plaintiff DEP, in writing, that it be allowed to discard any such documents. Such a request shall be accompanied by a description of the documents involved, including the name of each document, date, name and title of the sender and receiver and a statement of contents. Upon receiving written approval from plaintiff DEP, the Settling Defendant may discard only those documents the Plaintiffs do not require the Settling Defendant to preserve for a longer period. In the event Plaintiffs require preservation of certain documents for a longer period, Settling Defendants may deliver to Plaintiffs for preservation documents required to be kept for more than 6 years.

XVIII. NOTICES, SUBMISSIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

59. Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, whenever written notice or other documents are required to be submitted by one Party to another, they shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing.

As to Plaintiffs DEP & Administrator:

Leonard Romino, Assistant Director Site Remediation Program New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection 401 E. State St. P.O. Box 420, 401-05D Trenton, NJ 08625-0420

As to Honeywell:

John Morris Honeywell International Inc. 101 Columbia Road Morristown, NJ 07962

and

Thomas Byrne, Esq. Honeywell International Inc. 101 Columbia Road Morristown, NJ 07962

As to Occidental:

David Rabbe, President Tierra Solutions, Inc. 2 Tower Center Boulevard, Floor 10 East Brunswick, NJ 08816 and

Lori A. Mills, Esq.
Drinker Biddle & Reath
105 College Road East, Suite 300
Princeton, NJ 08540

As to PPG Industries Inc:

Steven F. Faeth, Senior Counsel - EHS PPG Industries Inc. One PPG Place, 39th Floor Pittsburg, PA 15272

- 60. All submissions shall be considered effective upon receipt, unless otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment.
- 61. The Settling Defendants shall not construe any informal advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by the Plaintiffs, or by persons acting for them, as relieving the Settling Defendants of their obligation to obtain written approvals or modifications as required by this Consent Judgment.
- 62. Any notices or other documents specified in this Consent Judgment may only be modified by agreement of the Parties. All such modifications shall be made in writing.
- 63. All notices or other documents the Settling Defendants are required to submit to the Plaintiffs under this Consent Judgment shall, upon approval or modification by the Plaintiffs in accordance with this Consent Judgment, be enforceable under this Consent Judgment. All such approvals or modifications shall be in writing.

- 64. In the event the Plaintiffs approve or modify a portion of a notice or other document the Settling Defendants are required to submit under this Consent Judgment in accordance with this Consent Judgment, the approved or modified portion shall be enforceable under this Consent Judgment.
- 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise or approve modifications to this Consent Judgment.

XIX. EFFECTIVE DATE

66. The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

XX. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

67. This Court retains jurisdiction over both the subject matter of this Consent Judgment and the Parties for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment for the purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this Consent Judgment, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms.

XXI. APPENDICES

68. The following appendices are attached to and incorporated into this Consent Judgment:

- a. "Appendix A" is the list of Orphan Sites.
- b. "Appendix B" is the Sewer Protocol.
- c. "Appendix C" is the Covenant Not to Sue form.
- d. "Appendix D" is the Most Recent List of Known CCPW Sites.
- e. "Appendix E" is the Chrome Policy.
- f. "Appendix F" is a List of Known Sewer Sites.

XXII. ENTRY OF THIS CONSENT DECREE

- 69. The Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this Consent Judgment without further notice, provided, however, that Plaintiffs shall provide notice of submission of this Consent Judgment to the Court in compliance with Paragraph 70 below.
- 70. Upon conclusion of the public comment period the Plaintiffs shall promptly submit this Consent Judgment to the Court for entry.
- 71. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Judgment in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.
- 72. Liability of the Settling Defendants under this Consent Judgment is several only. Violation of this Consent Judgment or

any provision hereof by any Settling Defendant shall not be deemed a violation by any other Settling Defendant.

XXIII. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

- 73. Each undersigned representative of a Party to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, and to execute and legally bind such party to this Consent Judgment.
- 74. This Consent Judgment may be signed and dated in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be an original, and such counterparts shall together be one and the same Consent Judgment.
- 75. Each Settling Defendant and each Plaintiff shall identify on the attached signature pages, the name, address and telephone number of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on its behalf with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Judgment. The Settling Defendants and Plaintiffs agree to accept service in this manner, and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in R. 4:4-4, including service of a summons.

THOMAS P. OLIVIER P.J.Ch.

| | NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION |
|-----------------------|---|
| | Did Sun |
| | David Sweeney, Assistant Commissioner, Site |
| Dated: 8/31/11 | Remediation |
| Dated: 8/31/11 | |
| | NEW JERSEY SPILE COMPENSATION FUND |
| | By: |
| | Anthony J. Farro, Administrator, New Jersey |
| Dated: 8/30/201 | Spill Compensation Fund |
| bacca. 0/0 40 si | |
| | PAULA T. DOW, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY Attorney for Plaintiffs |
| | |
| | By: Jana Conam |
| , , , | Deputy Attorney General |
| Dated: 3/25/20 | / |
| | |
| | |
| | For Occidental Chemical Corporation |
| | By: Den 7. Slohe |
| | Dennis F. Blake, Senior Vice President - Business Analysis |
| Dated: | August 16, 2011 |
| | Person Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of |
| | |
| | Name: Scott A. King |
| | Title: Vice President and General Counsel |
| | Address: Occidental Chemical Corporation 5005 LBJ Freeway, Ste 1500, Dallas, TX 7524 |
| | Telephone No.: (972) 404-3800 |

| Dated: | By: |
|--------|---|
| Dateu. | NEW JERSEY SPILL COMPENSATION FUND |
| | By: Anthony J. Farro, Administrator, Jew Jersey Spill Compensation Fund |
| Dated: | PAULA T. DOW, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY Attorney for Plaintiffs |
| Dated: | By: Deputy Attorney General |
| | For PPG Industries, Inc. |
| Dated: | By: John C. Richter, Vice President, EH&S August 26, 2011 |
| | Person Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Name: Steven F. Faeth |
| | Corporate Counsel FH&S |
| | Title: Corporate Coddiser Linus One PPG Place |
| | Address: Pittsburgh, PA 15272 |
| | Telephone No.: (412) 434-3799 |
| | |

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

| | NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION |
|--------|--|
| Dated: | By: David Sweeney, Assistant Commissioner, Site Remediation |
| | NEW JERSEY SPILL COMPENSATION FUND |
| Dated: | By: Anthony J. Farro, Administrator, New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund |
| | PAULA T. DOW, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY Attorney for Plaintiffs |
| | By: |
| Dated: | Deputy Attorney General |
| | By: An An Evan Wan Hook VP, Health, Safety, Environment & Remediation |
| Dated: | August 25, 2011 Person Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of |
| | Name: Thomas Byrne |
| | Title: Associate General Counsel/Chief Environmental Counse. Address: 101 Columbia Road, Morristown, NJ 07962 |
| | Telephone No.: 973-455-2775 |
| | |

APPENDIX A

LIST OF ORPHAN SITES

ACCEPTED BY THE THREE COMPANIES

| Site | Honeywell | PPG | Occidental |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----|------------|
| Site 7-NJ Turnpike at Communipaw | Х | | |
| Site 17 Newark Exxon | | | X |
| Site 19 Philip Street | Х | | |
| Site 67 Chapel Ave | Х | | |
| Site 68 Clendenny Outfall | Х | | |
| Site 69 Clendenny Ave | Х | | |
| Site 70 Colony Diner | Х | | |
| Site 77 8 th Street No. 2 | · | | Х |
| Site 86 Nicholas Trucking | | | Х |
| Site 91 NE Interceptor 1 | Х | | |
| Site 92 NE Interceptor 2 | Х | | |
| Site 93 NE Interceptor 3 | Х | | |
| Site 94 18 th Street | Х | | |
| Site 97 NW Interceptor 1 | Х | | |
| Site 98 NW Interceptor 2 | Х | | |
| Site 99 Recycling Specialists | Х | | |
| Site 100 Richard St | Х | | |
| Site 101 Stockton Ave | Х | | |
| Site 119 Droyers' Point | Х | | |
| Groundwater | | | |
| Site 130 Communipaw 5 | X | | |
| Site 165 Tempesta & Sons | X | | |
| Site 172 Warren St | X | | |
| Site 174 Dennis T. Collins Park | | X | |
| Site 178 Cabana Club | Х | | |
| Site 180a (Eastern Oil Sewer) | X | Х | |
| Site 183 Sludge Line 1 | Х | | |
| Site 185 Allied Stockpile | Х | | |
| Site 186 Garfield Ave | | Х | |
| Site 187 Route 440 Median Strip | Х | X | |
| Site 188 Sussex Street | Х | X | |
| Site 189 Henderson Street | X | Х | |
| Site 196 POTW outfall Line 1 | Х | Х | |
| Site 197 Grand Street Sewer | Х | X | |
| Site 198 Hartz Mountain | Х | X | |
| Site 199 Sludge Line 2 | X | X | |
| Site 200 Sludge Line 3 | X | Х | |
| Site 202 Caven Point Road | <u> </u> | X | |

| Site | Honeywell | PPG | Occidental |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Site 203 Claremont Assoc. | | Х | |
| Site 204 Conrail Edgewater | | x | |
| Branch | | <u> </u> | |
| Site 205 First Street | X | X | |
| Site 206 Polarome | X | | |
| Site 207 Garfield Ave #2 | | X | |

APPENDIX B

SEWER PROTOCOL

A. Investigation and Warning

- 1. The responsible party will investigate the sewer sites and delineate those areas of the Pipeline where Chromium Materials are present.
- 2. The responsible party will provide the utility with a map of those sections of sewer where Chromium Materials have been determined to be present and will fund training for utility employees on (a) recognition of Chromium Materials; (b) appropriate steps to be taken for worker protection; and (c) emergency utility repair procedures.
- 3. The responsible party and utility will develop administrative procedures to identify when Chromium Materials containing areas of the pipeline are scheduled for repair.

B. Remediation Protocols

1. Chromium Materials at the Surface. Whenever Chromium Materials or soils contaminated by Chromium Materials exceed the applicable standard for hexavalent chromium within the top 3 feet of soil, the presumptive remedy will consist of a capping system that includes, at a minimum, the following in vertical profile from top to bottom:

Asphalt or concrete cover,
Gravel subbase materials
Geocomposite drainage layer, e.g. geonet, as a capillary
break
Linear Low Density Polyethylene (LLDP) liner
Geotextile Fabric.

- 2. Chromium Materials Beneath the Surface. Whenever Chromium Materials or soils contaminated by Chromium Materials exceed the applicable standard for hexavalent chromium at a depth of 3 feet or more below the surface, the presumptive remedy consists of a capping system that includes the top three feet of clean fill as an engineering control. In addition, an orange demarcation layer (orange snow fence) will be installed below the surface as a warning not to disturb the engineering control.
- 3. Chromium Materials Beneath a Public Street or Highway. Whenever Chromium Materials or soils contaminated by Chromium

Materials exceed the applicable standard for hexavalent chromium beneath a public street or highway, the presumptive remedy consists of a capping system that includes the street itself as an engineering control.

- 4. Chromium Materials Excavation and Removal It is understood that repair or replacement of sections of a pipeline may be required from time to time to maintain efficient operation over the years. Whenever such normal operating repairs or replacement requires the removal of Chromium Materials or soils contaminated by chromium exceeding the applicable standard for hexavalent chromium, the responsible party will remove the Chromium Materials and/or contaminated soil.
- 5. Emergency Repairs The responsible party and the utility will develop procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency repair to any utility in an area where Chromium Materials were placed as bedding or fill around the utility. Such procedures will include: (a) appropriate steps to be taken to ensure worker safety; (b) the provision of notice to DEP and the responsible party as soon as practicable after the repair is made; (c) provisions for handling and disposal of any COPR Materials or chromium contaminated soil removed during the repair; and (d) provisions for restoring any remedial measures taken pursuant to the Sewer Protocol.

APPENDIX C

COVENANT NOT TO SUE

COVENANT NOT TO SUE

The State of New Jersey covenants and agrees that it will not bring any judicial, administrative or other action against [Company Name] with respect to Chromate Chemical Production Waste at or emanating from any of the sites listed below except as it may otherwise specifically be authorized to do by the Consent Judgment among the Companies and the State of New Jersey dated ______ This Covenant Not to Sue shall inure to the benefit of the Companies, their indemnitors and indemnitees and the direct, indirect and ultimate parents, subsidiaries and affiliates of any of them.

APPENDIX D

MOST RECENT VERSION OF DEP CCPW LIST

 $Appendix\ D$

Hudson County Chromium Sites

| SITE# | SITE NAME | STREET | TOWN | RP CATEGORY |
|-------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Bramhall Avenue | 597 Bramhall Avonue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 2 | Cayon Point 1 | 80 Caven Point | Jersey City | PPG |
| 3 | Caven Point 2 | Rear of 80 Caven Point Road | Jersey City | PPG |
| 4 | Caven Point 3 | 90 Cavon Point Road | Jorsey City | PPG |
| 5 | Caven Point 4 (air dock system) | 100 Caven Point Road | Jersey City | PPG |
| 6 | Communipaw 1 | 378 Communipaw Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 7 | NJ Turnpike at Communipow | Intersection of N.J. Turnyike and | Jarsey City | Honoywall |
| 8 | DEP Green Acres Site | East of Ultramar, North of Port Lib | Jersey City | PPG |
| 9 . | NJ Tumpike Exit 14A | New Jorsey Tumpike Exit 14A | Jersey City | Not a Sito |
| 10 | Grand Street 4 | 383 Grand Street | Jarsey City | PPG |
| f1 (| Grand Street 5 | 267,269,271 Grand Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| 12 | Grand Street 6 | 541-547 Grand Stroot | Joseoy City | PPG |
| 13 | Halladay Street | 215 Halladay Stroot | Jorsey City | PPG |
| 14 | Keamy Avenue | 30-32 Kearny Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 15 | Liberty State Park | Libory State Park east of Environ | Jersey City | NJDEP-Complated |
| 16 | Linden East (Levy & Sons) | Linden Avenue East | Jersey City | PPG |
| 17 | Newark Avenue - Exxon Station | Newark Avenue and Howell Street | Jersey City | Occidental |
| 18 | Pacific 1 | 421-426 Pacific Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 19 | Phillip Street | Phillip Street Junction | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 20 | NJ Turnpiko Bayview | Bolow Overpass 14B | Jorsey City | NJ Tumpike Authority |
| 21 | NJ Turnpike Greenville | New Jersey Turnpike at Piers 20 & | Jersey City | NJ Tumpike Authority |
| 22 | Woodward Street | 299-301 Woodward Street | Jarsay Cily | PPG |
| 23 | Communipaw 2,3 | 499 - 501 Communipaw Avenue | Jersoy City | PPG |
| 24 (| Communipaw 4 | 839 Communipaw Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 25 i | Fulton Street | 198 Fulton Sireot | Jarsey City | Not a site |

Tuesday, June 07, 2011

Page I of 8

| SITE# | SITE NAME | STREET | TOWN | RP CATEGORY |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 26 | Dwight Street. #9 | 197-207 Dwight Street | Jersey City | Not a Site |
| 27 | Dwight Street, #1a | 196 Dwight Street | Jersey City | Not a site |
| 28 | Dwight Street. #1b | 184 Dwight Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| 29 | Dwight Street #1c | 190 Dwight Street | Jersay City | PPG |
| 30 | Dwight Street. #2 | 180 Dwight Street | Jersay City | Not a Site |
| 31 | Dwight Street. #3 | 181-183 Dwight Street | Jorsey City | Not a Site |
| 32 | Dwight Street. #4 | 179-177 Dwight Street | Jors oy City | Not a Site |
| 33 | Dwight Street. #11 | 173-175 Dwight Street | Jersey City | Not a Site |
| 34 | Dwight Street. #5 | 145 Dwight Street | Jersey City | Not a Site |
| 35 | Dwight Street. #7 | 135 Dwight Street | Jersey City | Not a Site |
| 36 | Dwight Street. #8 | 129 Dyright Street | Jersey City | Not a Site |
| 37 | Martin Luther King Dr. | 143-147 Mortin Luther King Dr. | Jersey City | PPG |
| 38 | Cambridge Avenue | 51 Cambridge Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 39 | Pine Street | 280 Pine Street | Jorsey City | PPG |
| 40 | Pon Horn Creek - Secaucus | Pen Horn Avenue | Socaucus | Occidental Chemical |
| 41 | St Johnsbury Trucking | O'Brien and Sellers Streets | Koarny | Occidental Chemical |
| 42 | 3rd & Adams Sts ECIS Trucking | | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 43 | Diamond Head Oil | Diamond Head Oil | Keamy | Not a Site |
| 44 | Disch Construction | Jacobus Aversie | Kearny | Not a Site |
| 45 | Emco (aka Dupont Tract #1) | 49-57 O'Brien Road | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 46 | Jenkins Enterprises | 79-85 3rd Ave. | Keamy | Occidental Chemical |
| 47 | Goldies Auto Parts | 10 10 Belleville Tpk. | Кеслу | Occidental Chemical |
| 48 | Clinton Cartage, (aka Clinton) | 1000 Bellovillo Tpk. | Keemy | Occidental Chemical |
| 49 | Arden Chemical / aka American | 100 Hackonsack Average | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 50 | Janatox Company | 993 Beltoville Tumpike | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 51 | Keamy Township Site #1 | Belleville Turnpike | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 52 | Kenney Steel Treating Co. | 100 Quincy Place | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 53 | Kleerkast Inc. | 450 Schuyler Avenue | Koorny | Occidental Chemical |
| 54 | Plaff Tool & Mfg. | McWhirter & Gross St. | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 55 | New Rent Trucking (aka New Rent | 520 Bettoville Tumpike | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| | | | | |

Page 2 of 8

| SITE # | SITE NAME | STREET | TOWN | RP CATEGORY |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 56 | NJ Tumpiko Koarny #1 | Belleville Tumpike & NJ Tump | Keamy | Occidental Chemical |
| 57 | Riverbank Park | Riverbank Park | Kearny | Not a Site |
| 58 | Nicole's Warehouse N/F Ru Son | 996 Belleville Tok. | Keamy | Occidental Chemical |
| 59 | Trumbuli Asphalt | Newark Tumpike | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 60 | Tulto Exxon Station | 61 Lincoln Highway | Kearny | Occidental Chamical |
| 61 | Turco Industrial Area | 590 Balloville Tumpiko | Koamy | Occidental Chemical |
| 62 | West Hudson Lumber Co. | 60 Arlington Ave. | Keamy | Occidental Chemical |
| 63 | Baldwin Oils & Commodities, Inc. | Caven Point Road at Burma Road | Jersoy Cily | PPG |
| 64 | Black Tom Creek | Between Pittston, and Port Libe | Jersey City | Not a Site |
| 65 | Burma Road | West side of Burma Road Near Ca | Jersey City | PPG |
| 88 | Caven Point 5 | Government Road | Jersey City | PPG |
| 67 | Chapel Avenue | Between Chapel & Lindon Ave., | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 68 | Clendenny Outlail | Fool of Clendenny Avenue | Jersey City | Honaywali |
| 69 | Clendenny Avenue | Rear of Bradleys Department Stor | Jersey City | Honeywall |
| 70 | Colony Restaurant & Diner | Communipaw Avenue | Jersoy City | Honeywell |
| 71 | Communipaw Jug | Off Route 189 | Josepy City | Honoywell |
| 72 | Cove Site | Upper NY Bay | Jersey City | Not a Sito |
| 73 | Degan Oil . | 200 Kellogg Street | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 74 | Dwight Street #10 | 188 Dwight Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| 75 | Dwight Street #12 | 121 Dwight Street | Jarsoy City | PPG |
| 78 | Eighth Street #1 | 379-381 Eighth Street | Jersey City | Developer/Owner |
| 77 | Eighth Street #2 | 383 Eighth Street | Jersey City | Occidental Chomical |
| 78 | Engler Site | Culver Avenue | Jorsoy City | Not a Site |
| 79 | Rt. 440 Vehicle Corp | 10 Water Street | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 80 | Grand Street #1 | 223-225 Grand Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| . 81 | Grand Street #2 | 215-217 Grand Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| 82 | Grand Street #3 | 237 Grand Street | Jorsey City | PPG |
| 83 | Grand Street #7 | 235 Grand Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| 84 | Grand Street #8 | 219 Grand Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| 85 | Grand Street #9 | 381 Grand Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| | | | | |

Page 3 of 8

| SITE# | SITE NAME | STREET | TOWN | RP CATEGORY |
|-------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 86 | Nicholas/Hamilton Truckling | 123 Duffield Ave | Jersey City | Occidental Chemical |
| 87 | JCIA Site | 525 Route 440 | Jorsey City | Honeywell |
| 88 | JCIA Well | 575 Roule 440 | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 89 | Martin Luther King Drive #3 | 149 Martin Luther King Drive | Jersey City | PPG |
| 90 | Baldwin Steel | 460 Route 440 | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 91 | NE Interceptor 1 | Turnpike near Johnston Street | Jersey City | Hongywell |
| 92 | E Interceptor 2 | Under Tumpike near Ash Street | Jersey City | Honaywall |
| 93 | NE Interceptor 3 | East side plant yard | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 94 | 18th Street Sower | 18th & Jersey Avenue | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 95 | Newport Site | Provost & Povonia | Jorsey City | Developer/Owner |
| 98 | Ninth Street Firehouse | Ninth Street near Grove | Jersey City | PPG |
| 97 | NW Interceptor 1 | Near Secaucus Road | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 88 | NW Interceptor 2 | Near County Road | Jorsey City | Honeywoll |
| 99 | Recycling Spec., N/I Paz Jersey | 375 Rt. 1&9 | Jorsey City | Honeywall |
| 100 | Richard Street Interceptor | East of Richard Street | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 101 | Stocklon Ave | Slockton Ave & Route 1&9 | Jersey City | Honeywelf |
| 102 | Woodlawn Stroot | 124A Woodiawa | Jersey City | PPG |
| 103 | Amtrak Access Road | Belleville Turnpike | Keamy | Occidental Chemical |
| 104 | Old Communipaw Avenue | Rt. 1&9 Truck Section 1R | Jersey City | Not A Site |
| 105 | Colony 2 Site | Communipaw Avenue 7 Rt. 1&9 | Jersey City | Not a Site |
| 106 | Lincoln Park | Lincoln Park | Jersey City | Not a Site |
| 107 | Fashionland | 18 Chapel Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 108 | Albanii Dyestuff | 20 E. Linden Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 109 | Strickland Trucking AKA Seigle | Foot of Pennsylvania Avenue | Kearny | Not a Site |
| 110 | Frank's Auto Electric | 200 Garlield Avenue | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 111 | Vacuum Forming Equipment Servi | 39 Rizzolo Road | Kearny | Not a Sito |
| 112 | Ultramar Petroleum #1 | Caven Point Road | Jersey City | PPG |
| 113 | Diamond Shamrock Corp. | 1015 Belleville Tumpike | Keamy | Occidental Chemical |
| 114 | Garlield Avenue Site | 880 Gartield Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 116 | Roosevalt Driva-In | 441 Route 440 | Jorsey City | Honeywoll |

Page 4 of 8

| SITE # | SITE NAME | STREET | TOWN | RP CATEGORY |
|--------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 116 | Standard Chlorine Site | 1035 Belleville Tpk. | Keamy | Occidental Chemical |
| 117 | Ryerson Steel / Mutual Site | Route 440 | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 118 | La Pointe Park | DeKalb Street and Styvusant Ave | Jersey City | PPG |
| 119 | Droyers Point | Kellogg Street | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 120 | Trader Horn | 485 Route 440 | Jersey City | Honeywall |
| 121 | Garlield Auto Parts | 960 Garlield Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 122 | Whitney Young Jr. School | Stegman Street | Jersey City | Not a Site |
| 123 | Stegman Street | 136 Stegman Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| 124 | Roosevelt Lanes | 427 Route 440 | Jersey City | Honeywell |
| 125 | Delphic Consolidation & Distribution | 60 Kellogg Street | Jersey City | Honeywell · |
| 126 | Kuehne Chemical | 86 Hackensack Avenue | Kearny | Occidental Chemical |
| 127 | Pine Street 2 | 262-268 Pine Street | Jarsey City | PPG |
| 128 | Monitor Street | 65-71 Monitor Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| 129 | Dwight Street | 184-186 Dwight Stroot | Jersey City | PPG |
| 130 | Communipaw 5 (aka sile 104 & 10 | Communipaw Avenue | Jorsey City | Honoywell |
| 131 | Hackensack River Access Road | Balloville Turnpike | Keamy | Occidental Chemical |
| 132 | Town & Country Linen Warehouse | 808 Garffeld Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 133 | Ross Wax | 22 Halladay Street | Jersey City | PPG |
| 134 | Old Dominion (Unitrans) | 100 Kellogg Street | Jersey City | Honeywoll |
| 135 | Vitarroz | 51-99 Pacific Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 136 | Exxon Company, U.S.ABayonne | Foot Of Twenty Second Street | Bayonne | Exxon |
| 137 | Rudolph Bass | 45 Halladay St. | Jersey City | PPG |
| 138 | Bayonne Sewerage Treatment Pla | Foot of Oak Street | Bayonne | NFA . |
| 139 | IMTT (Bayonno Industries) | Foot of East 22nd Street | Bayonne | Multi-contaminant sito |
| 140 | ABF Trucking | 80 Kollogg Straet | Jorsey City | Honeywell |
| 141 | Zeneca Inc. (aka: ICI Americas) | Foot of East 22nd Street | Bayonne | Exxon |
| 142 | Pine Street 3 | 222 & 224 Pine Street | Jorsey City | PPG |
| 143 | F. Talarico Auto | B46 Garlield Avenue | Jersey City | PPG |
| 144 | Bayonne Sewage Pipeline | 19th-58th Street and Newark Bay | Bayonne | Honeywell |
| 145 | Bellezza Construction Co. | Fish House Road | Keamy | Occidental Chemical |

Page 5 of 8

| SITE # | SITE NAME | STREET | TOWN | RP CATEGORY | |
|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--|
| 146 | Commerce Street Site | Fool of Commerce Streat | Bayonne | PPG | |
| 147 | Hartz Mountain (Douglas Holdings | 999 Baldwin Avenue | Weehawken | PPG | |
| 148 | British Petroleum Corp. | Building 350, Coastal Street | Newark | Occidental Chemical | |
| 149 | Seton Leather Co. | 349 Oraton Street | Newark | Occidental Chemical | |
| 150 | Constal Oil Co.(AKA: Belcher Tan | Fool of E. 5th Street | Bayonne | Multi-çontaminant site | |
| 151 | Halladay Street 3 | 409-411 Halladay Street | Jorsoy City | PPG | |
| 152 | Kenrich Chemical | 140 East 22nd Street | Bayonne | Multi-contaminant site | |
| 153 | Former Morris Canal Site 1 | Route 449 | Jersey City | Honeywall | |
| 154 | College Tower Aparlments | 37 College Drive | Jersey City | Honeywell | |
| 155 | Food Town | 265 Ocean Avenus | Jersey City | Honeywell | |
| 156 | Gregory Park Apartments | 270 Henderson Street | Jersey City | PPG | |
| 157 | The Clean Machine Car Wash | Route 440 State Hwy | Jersey City | Honeywell | |
| 158 | Isabella Avenue Residences | 36-40 & 76 Isabella Avenue | Bayonne | NJDEP | |
| 159 | Pacific Avenue 2 | 404-410 Pacific Avolsuo | Jersey City | PPG | |
| 160 | Johnston Avenue 1 | 345-361 Johnston Avanue | Jersey City | PPG | |
| 161 | Maple Street 1 | 70 Maple Street | Jorsey City | PPG | |
| 162 | Conrail Rail Spur | Between Oak and 5th Street | 8ayonne | Multi-contaminant site | |
| 163 | Posnak & Turkish, Inc. | Foot of Kellogg Street | Jersey City | Honeywell | |
| 164 | Value City Furniture | 32 E. 52nd Street | Bayonne | PPG | |
| 165 | Tempesta & Sons, Inc. | Foot of Jersey Avenue & Aetna Str | Jersey City | NUDEP | |
| 166 | Roule 440 Extension | End of Route 440 | Bayonne | Honeywell | |
| 167 | THIRD ST. R.O.W./J.F. LOMMA | THIRD ST. AND CENTRAL AVE. | Kearny | Occidental Chemical | |
| 168 | THIRD ST. R.O.W. AND PSE&G | THIRD ST AND CENTRAL AVE. | Keamy | Occidental Chemical | |
| 169 | CONRAIL | CENTRAL AVE. | Keamy | Occidental Chemical | |
| 170 | BERGEN BARREL AND DRUM | 43-45 O'BRIEN ROAD | Kearny | Occidental Chemical | |
| 171 | Central Ave Between Pennsylvani | Central Ave. | Keamy | Occidental Chemical | |
| 172 | Waren Street | Warren Street | Jersey City | Honeywoll | |
| 173 | Metro Field | West Side Avenue | Jersey City | Honeywell | |
| 174 | Dennis P. Collins Park | isi Street | Sayonne | PPG | |
| 175 | Former Morris Canal Site 2 | Grand Street | Jersey City | NJDEP-Completed | |
| | | | | | |

Page 6 of 8

| SITE | # SITE NAME | STREET | TOWN | RP CATEGORY | |
|------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--|
| 178 | Reed Minerals | 339 Central Avenue | Kearny | Occidental Chemical | |
| 177 | Bayonne Municipal Lot | Hook Road | Bayonne | Multi-contaminant site | |
| 178 | Cabana Club | Burma Road and Theodore Conra | Jersey City | Honeywoll | |
| 179 | Twin City Auto | Broadway Street | Bayonne | Developor/Owner | |
| 180 | Eastom Oil (180A) | Howell Street | Jorsey City | Honeywoll/PPG | |
| 180 | Eastern Oil (180B) | Howell Street | Jersey City | Multi-contaminant site | |
| 181 | Johnson Brothers Trucking | 40A Hackensack Ave. | Kearny | Not a Site | |
| 182 | Radial Casting/Electric Company | Pennsylvania/Jacobus Avenue | Keamy | Not a Site | |
| 183 | Sludge Line 1 | Sludge Une between Randolph St | Jorsey City | Honeywell | |
| 184 | M.I. Holdings, Inc. | 223 West Side Avenue | Jorsey City | Honeywell | |
| 185 | Allied Stockpile | Jersey Avenue | Jersey City | Honeywell | |
| 186 | Garlield Avenue #1 | 947 Garlield Avenue | Jersey City | PPG | |
| 187 | Route 440 Median Strip | Route 440 between Danforth and | Jorsey City | Honeywoll/PPG | |
| 188 | Sussex Street #1 | Sussex Street (West of Warren) | Jersey City | Honoywell/PPG | |
| 189 | Henderson Street #1 | Henderson and Second Street | Jersey City | Honeywell/PPG | |
| 190 | Bayonne Durable Construction C | 195 East 22nd Street | Bayonno | Not a Site | |
| 191 | Port Imperial Marina | 1 Pershing Road | Weehawken | Developer/Owner | |
| 192 | NJ Tumpike Newark #1 | Eastern Spur at Piers 10S and 11 | Newark | NJ Tumpike Authority | |
| 193 | McWhirter Road #1 | McWhirter Road and Sellers Stree | Kearny | Occidental Chemical | |
| 194 | DEMILLE CHEMICAL CORPORA | 103-111 Fairmount Avenue | Jersey City | Not a Site | |
| 195 | Selleville Tumpike #1 | Belleville Turnpike and NJ Transit | Koarny | Occidental Chemical | |
| 198 | POTW Outfall Line | Former CRRNJ Freight Yard at LS | Jersey City | Honeywell/PPG | |
| 197 | Grand Street | Grand Street between Washington | Jers by City | Honoywoll/PPG | |
| 198 | Hartz Mountain #1 | Land Behind Hartz Mountain Build | Jersey City | Honeywell/PPG | |
| 199 | Sludgo Lino 2 | Sludge Line Between Garfield Ave | Jersey City | Honoywoll/PPG | |
| 200 | Sludge Line 3 | Sludge Line Between Arlington Av | Jersey City | Honeywell/PPG | |
| 201 | NJ Tumpike Keziny #2 | Belleville Turnpike & NJ Turnpike (| Kearny | Occidental Chemical | |
| 202 | Caven Point Realty | Between Pacific Street and NJ | Jersey Cily | PPG | |
| 203 | 346 Claremont Associates | NJ Transit Light Rail, 200' East of | Jersey City | PPG | |
| 204 | Conrail Edgewater Branch | West side of NJ Turnpike at the fo | Jorsey City | PPG | |
| | | | | | |

Page 7 of 8

| SITE# | SITE NAME | STREET | TOWN | RP CATEGORY | |
|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--|
| 205 | Urban Redevelopment Pariners | NW comer of 1st Street & Washin | Jersey City | Honeywell/PPG | |
| 206 | Polarome International | 200 Theodore Conrad Drive | Jersey City | Honeywell | |
| 207 | Garlleld Avenue #2 | 942, 944 & 946 Garlield Avenue | Jersey City | PPG | |
| 208 | Ultramar Petroleum #2 | Linden Avenue East | Jorsey City | PPG | |
| 209 | Joe's Welding | 25 O'Brien Road | Keamy | Occidental Chemical | |
| 210 | Ace Trucking | 21 Hackensack Avenue | Көатту | Occidental Chemical | |
| 211 | PSE&G West End Gas Plant | 444 St. Pauls Avenue | Jersey City | Multi-contaminant site | |
| 212 | Fairmount Chemical | 117 Blanchard Street | Newark | Multi-contaminant site | |

Page 8 of 8

APPENDIX E

FEBRUARY 2007 CHROME POLICY

FEB 09 2007 14:46 FR NJ DEP



State of New Fersey
Department of Environmental Protection
PO Box 402
Trenton, NI 08625-0402
Tel. # (609) 292-2885
Fax # (609) 292-7695

JON S. CORZINE
GOVERNOR

LISA P. JACKSON
Commissioner

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Irene Kropp, Assistant Commissioner Site Remediation and Waste Management

FROM:

Lisa P Jackson, Commissioner

DATE:

February 8, 2007

SUBJECT:

Chromium Moratorium

Please be advised that I am lifting the moratorium former Commissioner Bradley M. Campbell placed on the issuance of No Further Action letters (NFAs) and subsequently on Remedial Action Workplans (RAWPs) for sites or portions of sites presenting chromium contamination. I am making this decision based on the conclusions of the NIDEP Chromium Workgroup which found that the 1998 chromium cleanup criteria were based on sound science.

As a result of public health concerns raised by citizens at a November 2003 community meeting dealing with remediation of chromate ore sites in Jersey City and potential exposure to hexavalent chromium, former Commissioner Campbell, promised the community that the Department would review the science behind the existing standards. In March 2004, former Commissioner Campbell directed the Assistant Commissioner of the Site Remediation and Waste Management Program (Program) to suspend issuance of NFAs for sites or portions of sites presenting chromium contamination. This directive allowed the Program to seek a waiver from the Commissioner if protection of public health and the environment or other conditions militated a departure of that policy. This direction was made in conjunction with the establishment of a work group to evaluate the Department's existing guidance and, if necessary, develop new soil cleanup standards for hexavalent and trivalent chromium. The workgroup was charged with reviewing the technical basis for the current chromium cleanup criteria. Four subgroups were formed and directed to address issues associated with: 1) analytical chemistry; 2) environmental chemistry; 3) risk assessment and 4) air and dust transport.

In December 2004, a draft report was submitted to former Commissioner Campbell. The draft report was peer reviewed in January 2005, and was made available for public comment. Comments from peer reviewers and the public were reviewed and revisions to

New Jersey is An Equal Opportunity Employer • Printed on Recycled Paper and Recyclable

the draft report were completed in May 2005. The report has been available on the Department's website in its draft form at www.state.ni.us/dep/dsr/chromium.

It is the conclusions/recommendations of this May 2005 draft that form the basis for my decision to modify the existing NFA moratorium. In addition to lifting the moratorium, I will be reinstating the risk assessment subgroup once the U.S. Department of Health and Human Scrvices, National Toxicology Program's study of hexavalent chromium is completed. The risk assessment subgroup will evaluate any new information to see if it warrants the development of new chromium standards for soils. At the conclusion of their assessment, the May 2005 draft report will be updated as necessary and finalized.

Specifically, I am modifying the existing chromium policy to apply to sites or portions of sites, taking into account the intended future uses, as follows:

- An unconditional NFA approval relative to chromium can be issued for soils if 1) hexavalent chromium contamination in excess of 20 ppm is excavated and removed from the site and 2) any remaining chromium contamination that fails the SPLP test for impact to ground water is excavated and removed, from the site or treated and left on site provided the treated chromium will not fail the SPLP test in the future. An unconditional NFA approval relative to chromium can also be issued for soils if hexavalent chromium contamination in excess of 20ppm is treated and left on site provided the resulting concentration of hexavalent chromium in the soil remains below 20 ppm (i.e., no "rebound effect" for hexavalent chromium)
- An unconditional NFA approval relative to chromium can be issued for ground
 water when there is no ground water contamination above the ground water
 quality standard for chromium. In addition, as noted above, all existing on site and
 off-site sources of chromium contamination producing an exceedance of the
 ground water quality standard must be remediated.
- A conditional NFA (limited restricted use, restricted use) for soils and/or groundwater relative to chromium can be issued at a site or that portion of a site which have or will have residential, day care or educational uses when 1) hexavalent chromium soil contamination in excess of 20 ppm is excavated to a depth of 20 feet below grade or to the depth of the lowest point any underground structure made of porous material (whichever is greater), or if hexavalent chromium soil contamination is treated and left on site to a depth of 20 feet below grade or to a depth of the lowest point of any underground structure made of porous material (whichever is greater) provided the concentration of hexavalent chromium in such soil remains below 20 ppm (i.e., no "rebound effect" for hexavalent chromium), 2) a capillary break is put into place to prevent any ctystallization of chromate on soil surfaces or subsurface building walls or floors, 3) any remaining chromium contamination left on site to a depth of 20 feet below grade or to a depth of the lowest point of any underground structure made of porous material (whichever is greater) must pass and continue to the SPLP test., and 4) ground water contamination and any on site sources of chromium ground

water contamination below a depth of 20 feet below grade or to a depth of the lowest point of any underground structure made of porous material (whichever is greater) are controlled, contained or treated, through the use of conventional or innovative technologies, and a Classification Exception Area is established. As contamination would be left on site in this situation, a deed notice would be required. As always, the property owner has to agree to a deed restriction. Financial assurance must be in place for the operation and maintenance of institutional and engineering controls for duration of the intended treatment, containment, or controls.

A conditional NFA (limited restricted use, restricted use) for soils and/or
groundwater can be issued at a site or that portion of a site which have or will
have commercial/industrial/open space uses consistent with the technical
regulations and oversight regulations.

Remedial action plans that result in unconditional NFAs may be prioritized over those plans that do not. Assistant Director approval is required for remedial action workplan approvals which will result in conditional NFAs. Assistant Commissioner approval is required for remedial action workplan approvals that request alternate remedial standards for soils or any other proposed remedial action not addressed in this policy.

** TOTAL PAGE.84 **